

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/029,530	HIROSE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Terry L. Englund	2816	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) Exmr Terry L. Englund.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Applicants' Rep James A. Pinto (Reg. No. 40,774).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 September 2005

**Time:** 1:15 PM

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 102(b) type

Claims discussed:

16

Prior art documents discussed:

US Patent 4,978,905 (e.g. see switches M8, M13, and M30 (or M14) in Fig. 2) from the applicants' Aug 18<sup>th</sup> IDS.


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The applicants' representative agreed to cancel claim 16 after the examiner described how the one prior art reference reads on the recited limitations. See the Examiner's Amendment for details.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)